

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/733,059 | PATEL, BHARAT B. | |

| | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| John J. Figueroa | 1712 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) John J. Figueroa.

(3) _____.

(2) Rodney B. Carroll.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2006

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested restriction of claims into Group I (1-17 drawn to a method for reducing fluid) and Group II claims 18-31(drawn to a wellbore servicing fluid). Applicant elected Group I.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)